On the bar-cobar duality for algebras and operads

Mathieu Anel André Joyal

Département de Mathématiques Université du Québec à Montréal (UQÀM) Montréal, Québec

anel.matthieu@uqam.ca joyal.andre@uqam.ca

AMS Meeting, Boston, January 07, 2012

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ◆ = → ○ へ ⊙

Introduction

- The goal is to give the bar-cobar duality for algebras and operads a unified treatment
- We are using some aspects of Sweedler's theory of coalgebras extended to cooperads

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Our theory is purely algebraic

Overview

Part I:

- The bar-cobar duality for algebras recalled
- Enrichement of monoids over comonoids
- Twisting cochains
- The Maurer-Cartan algebra
- The bar-cobar duality for algebras revisited

Part II:

- The operadic bar-cobar duality recalled
- Enrichement of operads over cooperads
- Pre-Lie algebras
- Twisting cochains
- The Maurer-Cartan operad
- The operadic bar-cobar duality revisited

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The bar-cobar duality for algebras recalled

The classical *bar construction* takes a pointed dg algebra A to a pointed dg coalgebra B(A), and the *cobar construction* takes a pointed dg coalgebra C to a pointed dg algebra $\Omega(C)$.

This defines two functors

 $\Omega: \mathsf{dgCoalg}_{\bullet} \to \mathsf{dgAlg}_{\bullet} \qquad \qquad \mathrm{B}: \mathsf{dgAlg}_{\bullet} \to \mathsf{dgCoalg}_{\bullet}$

But the functor Ω is **not** left adjoint to the functor B.

There is only an adjunction

```
\Omega: \mathsf{nildgCoalg}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \mathsf{dgAlg}_{\bullet} : B,
```

where **nildgCoalg** denotes the category of conilpotent dg coalgebras (Brown, Prouté).

The functor Ω^{\vee}

We shall see that the functor Ω : $dgCoalg_{\bullet} \rightarrow dgAlg_{\bullet}$ has a right adjoint Ω^{\vee} . Moreover,

- The category of conilpotent coalgebras is a full coreflexive subcategory of the category of pointed coalgebras;
- The coreflexion functor takes a pointed dg coalgebra C to its coradical R(C);
- We have $B(A) = R\Omega^{\vee}(A)$ for any pointed dg algebra A.

It follows that the adjunction

$\Omega: \textbf{nildgCoalg}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \textbf{dgAlg}_{\bullet}: B$

is obtained by composing the adjunctions

$$inc : nildgCoalg_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow dgCoalg_{\bullet} : R$$

$$\Omega: \mathbf{dgCoalg}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{dgAlg}_{\bullet}: \Omega^{\vee}.$$

Closed category

Recall that a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{K}, \otimes, I)$ is said to be *closed* if the functor $X \otimes (-)$ has a right adjoint $\mathcal{K}(X, -)$ for every object $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

There is then a canonical isomorphism (the tensor-hom isomorphism),

$$\mathcal{K}(X \otimes Y, Z) \simeq \mathcal{K}(X, \mathcal{K}(Y, Z)).$$

Examples

- The category **Vect** of vector spaces over a field \mathbb{F} .
- The category **gVect** of \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{F} -vector spaces.
- ► The category **dgVect** of complexes of *F*-vector spaces.

Tensor and cotensor

Let ${\mathcal E}$ be a category enriched over a symmetric monoidal closed category ${\mathcal K}.$

We have $\mathcal{E}(A, B) \in \mathcal{K}$ for every objects $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$.

Recall that \mathcal{E} is **tensored** by \mathcal{K} if the functor $\mathcal{E}(A, -) : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{K}$ has a (strong) left adjoint $X \mapsto X \triangleright A$ for every object $A \in \mathcal{E}$.

Recall that \mathcal{E} is **cotensored** by \mathcal{K} if the contravariant functor $\mathcal{E}(-, A) : \mathcal{E}^{op} \to \mathcal{V}$ has a (strong) right adjoint $X \mapsto [X, A]$ for every object $A \in \mathcal{E}$.

We then have the hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{K}(X,\mathcal{E}(A,B))\simeq \mathcal{E}(X\rhd A,B)\simeq \mathcal{E}(A,[X,B])$$

The trinity

The enrichement of a \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{K} can be described **equivalently** by **any** of the following three functors:

the hom functor

$$\mathcal{E}(-,-):\mathcal{E}^{op}\times\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{K}$$

the tensor product functor

$$(-) \rhd (-) : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$$

the cotensor product functor

$$[-,-]:\mathcal{K}^{op}\times\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}$$

We shall use the third functor (the cotensor) to define the enrichement of the category of algebras over the category of coalgebras.

Monoid

Recall that a *monoid* in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \otimes, I)$ is an object A equipped with a multiplication $m : A \otimes A \to A$ and a unit $e : I \to A$ satisfying the following conditions:



The tensor product of two monoids A and B has the structure of a monoid $A \otimes B$.

Hence the category $Mon(\mathcal{V})$ of monoids in \mathcal{V} is symmetric monoidal.

The unit object is the monoid I.

Comonoid

Recall that a *comonoid* in a monoidal category $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \otimes, I)$ is a monoid in the opposite category \mathcal{V}^{op} .

It is an object $C \in \mathcal{V}$ equipped with a comultiplication $\delta : C \to C \otimes C$ and a counit $\epsilon : C \to I$ satisfying the following conditions:



The tensor product of two comonoids C and D has the structure of a comonoid $C \otimes D$.

Hence the category **Comon**(\mathcal{V}) of comonoids in \mathcal{V} is symmetric monoidal.

The unit object is the comonoid *I*.

The category $Comon(\mathcal{V})$

Theorem (Porst)

If the monoidal category \mathcal{V} is closed and locally presentable, then so is the monoidal category **Comon**(\mathcal{V}).

The hom object is denoted HOM(C, D).

As a consequence, we have:

Corollary (Sweedler)

The monoidal category of coalgebras over a field is closed.

Corollary (Barr)

Let R be a commutative ring. Then the category of cocommutative R-coalgebras is cartesian closed.

A philosophical remark

We argue that the hom object Hom(A, B) between two monoids wants to be a comonoid:

To see this, observe that a map $\phi: A \to B$ is a morphism of monoid iff the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$$

$$\bullet \ \phi(e_A) = e_B.$$

The first condition is using the diagonal

```
Hom(A, B) \rightarrow Hom(A, B) \times Hom(A, B)
```

and the second condition is using the projection $Hom(A, B) \rightarrow I$. We would like to define an enrichement

Hom : $Mon(\mathcal{V})^{op} \times Mon(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow Comon(\mathcal{V})$

The convolution monoid

 ${\mathcal V}$ a symmetric monoidal closed category.

If A = (A, m, e) is a monoid in \mathcal{V} and $C = (C, \delta, \epsilon)$ is a comonoid, then $\mathcal{V}(C, A)$ has the structure of a monoid [C, A],

the product is the convolution product *

$$[C,A] \otimes [C,A] \xrightarrow{can} [C \otimes C, A \otimes A] \xrightarrow{[\delta,m]} [C,A].$$

• the unit is the composite $e\epsilon: C \to I \to A$.

This defines a functor

$$[-,-]: \operatorname{\mathsf{Comon}}(\mathcal{V})^{\operatorname{op}} imes \operatorname{\mathsf{Mon}}(\mathcal{V}) o \operatorname{\mathsf{Mon}}(\mathcal{V})$$

The category $Mon(\mathcal{V})$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ a symmetric monoidal closed category.

Theorem (A-J)

If the category \mathcal{V} is locally presentable, then the category $Mon(\mathcal{V})$ is locally presentable, enriched and bicomplete over the category $Comon(\mathcal{V})$.

- ► the cotensor product of a monoid A by a comonoid C is the convolution monoid [C, A].
- ► the tensor product of A by C is the Sweedler product C ▷ A of A by C.
- ► the hom object between two monoids A and B is a comonoid denoted {A, B}.

We have the hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms:

$$HOM(C, \{A, B\}) \simeq \{C \rhd A, B\} \simeq \{A, [C, B]\}$$

The Sweedler product $C \triangleright A$

A, B monoids, C a comonoid

Definition (Sweedler)

A map $f : C \otimes A \rightarrow B$ is a **measuring** if the corresponding map $A \rightarrow [C, B]$ is a morphism of algebras.

This condition means that the following two diagrams commute:



The Sweedler product $C \triangleright A$ is the target of a *universal measuring*

$$C\otimes A\to C\rhd A.$$

The enrichment of the category of monoids over the category of comonoids has a pointed variant.

A **pointed monoid** A is a morphism of monoids $\epsilon : A \rightarrow I$ (an augmentation)

A **pointed comonoid** is a morphism of comonoids $e: I \rightarrow C$ (a coaugmentation).

The smash product

In a symmetric monoidal closed category \mathcal{V} .

The **smash product** $C \land D$ of two pointed comonoids C and D is defined by the following pushout square



The smash product gives the category of pointed comonoids $Comon_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$ a symmetric monoidal structure.

The unit object is the comonoid $I_+ = I \sqcup I$.

The category $Comon_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$

Theorem (A-J)

If the monoidal category \mathcal{V} is closed and locally presentable, then so is the monoidal category **Comon**_•(\mathcal{V}).

The hom object is denoted $HOM_{\bullet}(C, D)$.

The pointed convolution monoid

Let $A = (A, \epsilon)$ be a pointed monoid and C = (C, e) be a pointed comonoid.

The **pointed convolution monoid** $[C, A]_{\bullet}$ is defined by the following pullback square of monoids:

This defines a functor

$$[-,-]_{\bullet}: \mathsf{Comon}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})^{op} \times \mathsf{Mon}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathsf{Mon}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$$

The category $Mon_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ a symmetric monoidal closed category.

Theorem (A-J)

If the category \mathcal{V} is locally presentable, then the category $\mathsf{Mon}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$ is locally presentable, enriched and bicomplete over the category $\mathsf{Comon}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$.

- the cotensor product of a pointed monoid A by a pointed comonoid C is the pointed convolution monoid [C, A].
- ► the tensor product of A by C is the pointed Sweedler product C ▷ A.
- ► the hom object between two pointed monoids A and B is a pointed comonoid {A, B}.

Hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms:

$$HOM_{\bullet}(C, \{A, B\}_{\bullet}) \simeq \{C \triangleright_{\bullet} A, B\}_{\bullet} \simeq \{A, [C, B]_{\bullet}\}_{\bullet}$$

Pointed dg algebras and coalgebras

Corollary

The category **dgCoalg**_• is symmetric monoidal closed.

- The tensor product between C and D is $C \wedge D$
- The hom object is denoted $HOM_{\bullet}(C, D)$

Corollary

The category $dgAlg_{\bullet}$ is enriched and bicomplete over the category $dgCoalg_{\bullet}$.

- The hom object is denoted $\{A, B\}_{\bullet}$
- The tensor product of a A by C is denoted $C \triangleright_{\bullet} A$.
- The cotensor product of A by C is denoted $[C, A]_{\bullet}$.

Twisting cochains

Definition

Let A be a dg algebra. An element $a \in A$ of degre -1 is said to be a *Maurer-Cartan element* if it satisfies the Maurer-Cartan-Brown equation:

$$\partial(a) + aa = 0.$$

Definition (Brown)

Let A be a dg algebra and C be a dg coalgebra. A linear map $\alpha : C \to A$ of degree -1 is said to be a *twisting cochain* if it is a Maurer-Cartan element of the convolution algebra [C, A].

We shall denote the set of twisting cochains $C \to A$ by Tw(C, A).

The Maurer-Cartan algebra

Definition

The **Maurer-Cartan algebra** MC is the dg algebra freely generated by a Maurer-Cartan element $u \in MC$.

In other words, for any dg algebra A and any Maurer-Cartan element $a \in A$, there exists a unique morphism of dg algebras $f : MC \to A$ such that f(u) = a.

MC has the structure of a dg Hopf algebra. The coproduct $\delta: MC \to MC \otimes MC$ is defined by putting $\delta(u) = 1 \otimes u + u \otimes 1$ and the counit $\epsilon: MC \to \mathbb{F}$ by putting $\epsilon(u) = 0$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

If A is a dg algebra and C is a dg coalgebra, then the set Tw(C, A) of twisting cochains $C \to A$ is in bijection with the set of morphisms $MC \to [C, A]$ in the category of dg algebras.

By the hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms, we have two natural bijections :

 $Hom(C, \{MC, A\}) \simeq Hom(C \triangleright MC, A) \simeq Tw(C, A).$

MC and pointed twisting cochains

If the algebra A is pointed and the coalgebra C is pointed, there is a notion of *pointed* twisting cochain $\alpha : C \to A$ ($\alpha e = 0 = \epsilon \alpha$).

The set $Tw_{\bullet}(C, A)$ of pointed twisting cochains $C \to A$ is in bijection with the set of morphisms $MC \to [C, A]_{\bullet}$.

By the hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms, we have two natural bijections :

 $Hom(C, \{MC, A\}_{\bullet}) \simeq Hom(C \triangleright_{\bullet} MC, A) \simeq Tw_{\bullet}(C, A).$

The bar-cobar duality for algebras revisited

By combining the classical isomorphism

$$Hom(\Omega C, A) \simeq Tw_{\bullet}(C, A)$$

with the natural isomorphism

$$Hom(C \triangleright_{\bullet} MC, A) \simeq Tw_{\bullet}(C, A),$$

we obtain that $\Omega(C) = C \triangleright_{\bullet} MC$.

Let us put

$$\Omega^{\vee}(A) := \{MC, A\}_{\bullet}.$$

We then have an adjunction

$$\Omega: \mathbf{dgCoalg}_{\bullet} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{dgAlg}_{\bullet} : \Omega^{\vee}$$

A closing remark

The adjoint pair

```
\Omega: \textbf{dgCoalg}_{\bullet} \leftrightarrow \textbf{dgAlg}_{\bullet}: \Omega^{\vee}
```

is entirely determined by Maurer-Cartan algebra MC since we have $\Omega(C) = C \triangleright_{\bullet} MC$.

Conversely, the algebra MC is determined by the cobar construction Ω since we have

$$MC = I_+ \triangleright_{\bullet} MC = \Omega(I_+),$$

where I_+ is the unit object for the smash product.

Part II

The bar-cobar duality for operads and cooperads:

- The operadic bar-cobar duality recalled
- Enrichement of operads over cooperads
- Pre-Lie algebras
- Twisting cochains
- The Maurer-Cartan operad
- The operadic bar-cobar duality revisited

The operadic bar-cobar duality recalled

The operadic bar construction of Ginzburg and Kapranov takes a pointed dg operad A to a pointed dg cooperad B(A). The operadic cobar construction takes a pointed dg cooperad C to a pointed dg operad $\Omega(C)$.

This defines two functors

 $\Omega: \textbf{dgCoop}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \textbf{dgOp}_{\bullet} \hspace{1cm} B: \textbf{dgOp}_{\bullet} \rightarrow \textbf{dgCoop}_{\bullet}$

But the functor Ω is **not** left adjoint to the functor B.

There is only an adjunction

```
\Omega: \mathsf{nildgCoop}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \mathsf{dgOp}_{\bullet} : B
```

where **nildgCoop**, denotes the category of conilpotent dg cooperads.

The functor Ω^{\vee}

We shall see that the functor Ω : $dgCoop_{\bullet} \rightarrow dgOp_{\bullet}$ has a right adjoint Ω^{\vee} . Moreover,

- the category of conilpotent cooperads is a full coreflexive subcategory of the category of pointed cooperads;
- the coreflexion functor takes a pointed cooperad C to its coradical R(C);
- we have $B(A) = R\Omega^{\vee}(A)$ for any pointed dg operad A.

It follows that the adjunction

$$\Omega : \mathsf{nildgCoop}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \mathsf{dgOp}_{\bullet} : B$$

is obtained by composing the adjunctions

```
inc: \mathbf{nildgCoop}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{dgCoop}_{\bullet}: R
```

```
\Omega: \mathsf{dgCoop}_{\bullet} \longleftrightarrow \mathsf{dgOp}_{\bullet} : \Omega^{\vee}.
```

Symmetric sequences

Let $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}, \otimes, I)$ be a symmetric monoidal closed category.

Recall that a symmetric sequence $P = (P_n)$ in \mathcal{V} is a sequence of objects $P_n \in \mathcal{V}$ equipped with an action $\Sigma_n \times P_n \to P_n$ of the symmetric group Σ_n .

We shall denote the category of symmetric sequences by \mathcal{V}^{Σ_*} .

The Hadamar product of two symmetric sequences P and Q is defined by putting

$$(P\otimes Q)_n=P_n\otimes Q_n$$

for every $n \ge 0$.

The Hadamar product gives the category \mathcal{V}^{Σ_*} a symmetric monoidal closed structure.

The unit object is the *exponential symmetric sequence* E defined by putting $E_n = I$ for every $n \ge 0$.

Species

The groupoid $\Sigma_* = \bigsqcup \Sigma_n$ is equivalent to the groupoid \mathbb{B} of finite sets and bijections.

A species in \mathcal{V} is defined to be a functor $P = P[-] : \mathbb{B} \to \mathcal{V}$.

The category of species $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is equivalent to the category of symmetric sequences $\mathcal{V}^{\Sigma_*}.$

The Cauchy product of two species P and Q is defined by putting

$$(P \cdot Q)[S] = \bigsqcup_{S_1 \sqcup S_2 = S} P[S_1] \otimes P[S_2]$$

The Cauchy product gives the categories $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\Sigma_{\star}}$ a symmetric monoidal closed structure.

The unit object for the Cauchy product is the species 1 defined by putting $1[\emptyset] = I$ and $1[S] = \bot$ for $S \neq \emptyset$.

Invariants

The *invariant space* of a symmetric sequence P is defined by putting

$$Inv(P) = \prod_{n\geq 0} (P_n)^{\Sigma_n}.$$

If the category $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ is additive, then the functor

$$\mathit{Inv}: \mathcal{V}^{\Sigma_\star} \to \mathcal{V}$$

is lax monoidal with respect to the Cauchy product (Aguiar and Mahajan). There is thus a canonical morphism

$$Inv(P) \otimes Inv(Q) \rightarrow Inv(P \cdot Q).$$

Operads and cooperads

Recall that a symmetric operad in \mathcal{V} is a symmetric sequence $P = (P_n)$ equipped with composition operations

$$P_n \otimes P_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{k_n} \to P_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n}$$

satisfying certain identities (May).

Dually, a symmetric co-operad is a symmetric sequence $P = (P_n)$ equipped with decomposition operations

$$P_{k_1+\cdots+k_n} \to P_n \otimes P_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{k_n}$$

satisfying dual identities.

Grafting operations

The structure of an operad on a symmetric sequence $P = (P_n)$ can also be defined by using grafting operations

$$\gamma_{n,m} = \circ_{n+1} : P_{n+1} \otimes P_m \to P_{n+m}$$

(Markl-Shnider-Stasheff).

The operations can be assembled into a single **total grafting operation**

$$\gamma: P' \cdot P \to P$$

where $P' \cdot P$ is the Cauchy product of P' with P and where P' is the **derivative** of P defined by putting $P'_n = P_{n+1}$ (Joyal).

(In Loday-Vallette, $P' \cdot Q$ is noted $P \circ_{(1)} Q$.)

The category $\mathbf{Coop}(\mathcal{V})$

The Hadamar product of two cooperads C and D has the structure of a cooperad $C \otimes D$.

This defines a symmetric monoidal structure on the category $\mathbf{Coop}(\mathcal{V})$ of cooperads in \mathcal{V} .

Theorem (A-J)

If the monoidal category \mathcal{V} is closed and locally presentable, then so is the monoidal category **Coop**(\mathcal{V}).

The hom object is denoted HOM(C, D).

The convolution operad

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ a symmetric monoidal closed category.

If A is an operad in \mathcal{V} , and C is a cooperad, then the **convolution operad** [C, A] is defined by putting

$$[C,A]_n = \mathcal{V}(C_n,A_n)$$

for every $n \ge 0$ (Berger-Moerdijk). The composition operation

$$[C_n, A_n] \otimes [C_{k_1}, A_{k_1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes [C_{k_n}, A_{k_n}] \rightarrow [C_k, A_k]$$

for $k = k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ is obtained from the composition operations of A and the decomposition operations of C.

This defines a functor

$$[-,-]: \operatorname{Coop}(\mathcal{V})^{op} \times \operatorname{Op}(\mathcal{V}) \to \operatorname{Op}(\mathcal{V})$$

The category $\mathbf{Op}(\mathcal{V})$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ a symmetric monoidal closed category.

Theorem (A-J)

If the category \mathcal{V} is locally presentable, then the category $Op(\mathcal{V})$ is locally presentable, enriched and bicomplete over the category $Coop(\mathcal{V})$.

- the cotensor product is the convolution operad [C, A].
- the tensor product is the **Sweedler product** $C \triangleright A$.
- the hom object is denoted $\{A, B\} \in \mathbf{Coop}(\mathcal{V})$.

Hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms:

$$HOM(C, \{A, B\}) \simeq \{C \rhd A, B\} \simeq \{A, [C, B]\}$$

The pointed variant

The unit operad (cooperad) I is defined by putting

$$\mathbb{I}_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} I & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \bot & \text{if } n \neq 1 \end{array} \right.$$

where \perp is the initial object of the category $\mathcal{V}.$

A **pointed operad** is an operad A equipped with a morphism of operads $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ (an augmentation).

A **pointed cooperad** is a cooperad *C* equipped with a morphism of cooperads $e : \mathbb{I} \to C$ (a coaugmentation).

The category $\mathbf{Coop}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$ a symmetric monoidal closed category.

The smash product $C \land D$ of pointed cooperads in \mathcal{V} is a pointed cooperad.

The smash product gives the category $\mathbf{Coop}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$ of pointed cooperads in \mathcal{V} a symmetric monoidal structure. The unit object for the smash product is the pointed cooperad $E_+ = E \sqcup \mathbb{I}$.

Theorem (A-J)

If the closed monoidal category \mathcal{V} is locally presentable, then the monoidal category $\mathbf{Coop}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$ is closed and locally presentable.

The hom object is denoted $HOM_{\bullet}(C, D)$.

The category $Op_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$

There is a notion of *pointed convolution operad* $[C, A]_{\bullet}$ for a pointed operad A and a pointed cooperad C.

Theorem (A-J)

If \mathcal{V} is locally presentable, then the category $\mathbf{Op}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$ of pointed operads in \mathcal{V} is locally presentable, enriched and bicomplete over the category $\mathbf{Coop}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V})$.

- ► The cotensor product of A by C is the pointed convolution operad [C, A].
- The tensor product is the *pointed Sweedler product* $C \triangleright_{\bullet} A$.
- ▶ The hom object is a pointed cooperad {*A*, *B*}.

Hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms:

$$HOM_{\bullet}(C, \{A, B\}_{\bullet}) \simeq \{C \rhd_{\bullet} A, B\}_{\bullet} \simeq \{A, [C, B]_{\bullet}\}_{\bullet}$$

Pointed dg operads and cooperads

Corollary

The category pointed dg cooperads **dgCoop**_• is symmetric monoidal closed.

- The tensor product between C and D is $C \wedge D$
- The hom object is denoted $HOM_{\bullet}(C, D)$

Corollary

The category of pointed dg operads dgOp_• is enriched and bicomplete over the category dgCoop_•.

- The hom object is denoted $\{A, B\}_{\bullet}$
- The tensor product of a A by C is denoted $C \triangleright_{\bullet} A$.
- The cotensor product of A by C is denoted $[C, A]_{\bullet}$.

Graded pre-Lie algebra

We recall that a graded pre-Lie algebra is a graded vector space X equipped with a binary operation $\star : X \otimes X \to X$ satisfying

$$(x \star y) \star z - x \star (y \star z) = (-1)^{|y||z|} ((x \star z) \star y - x \star (z \star y))$$

A graded pre-Lie algebra (X, \star) has the structure of a Lie algebra with the bracket operation $[-, -] : X \otimes X \to X$ defined by putting

$$[x, y] = x \star y - (-1)^{|x||y|} y \star x.$$

For the history of the notion of pre-Lie algebra, see Burde.

The pre-Lie algebra of a non-symmetric operad

The total space of a non-symmetric operad A is defined by putting

$$Tot(A) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} A_n.$$

We recall that the vector space Tot(A) has the structure of a pre-Lie algebra with the Gerstenhaber operation $\circ: A_n \otimes A_m \to A_{n+m-1}$ defined by putting

$$\phi \circ \psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi \circ_{i} \psi$$

This contruction has an analog for symmetric operads.

The pre-Lie algebra of a symmetric operad

The invariant space Inv(A) of a symmetric operad A has the structure of a pre-Lie algebra with the operation

$$\star: \mathit{Inv}(A) \otimes \mathit{Inv}(A) \to \mathit{Inv}(A)$$

obtained by composing the canonical maps

$$\mathit{Inv}(A) \otimes \mathit{Inv}(A) \to \mathit{Inv}(A') \otimes \mathit{Inv}(A) \to \mathit{Inv}(A' \cdot A) \to \mathit{Inv}(A),$$

where $Inv(A) \rightarrow Inv(A')$ is the natural projection and the last map is induced by the total grafting operation $\gamma : A' \cdot A \rightarrow A$ (see Loday & Valette).

If A is a dg operad, we say that an element $a = (a_n) \in Inv(A)$ of degree -1 is a *Maurer-Cartan element* if it satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation:

$$\partial(a) + a \star a = 0.$$

If A is a dg operad and C is a dg cooperad, then a *twisting* cochain $\alpha : C \to A$ is defined to be a Maurer-Cartan element of the convolution operad [C, A].

The Maurer-Cartan operad

Definition

The **Maurer-Cartan operad** \mathcal{MC} is the dg operad freely generated by the components u_n of a Maurer-Cartan element $u = (u_n)$.

There is a bijection between the set Tw(C, A) of twisting cochains $C \to A$ and the set of morphisms $\mathcal{MC} \to [C, A]$ in the category of dg operads.

By the hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms, we have two natural bijections

$$\textit{Hom}(\textit{C},\{\mathcal{MC},A\}) \simeq \textit{Hom}(\textit{C} \rhd \mathcal{MC},A) \simeq \textit{Tw}(\textit{C},A)$$

We thus obtain an adjunction

$$(-) \rhd \mathcal{MC} : \textbf{dgCoop} \leftrightarrow \textbf{dgOp} : \{\mathcal{MC}, -\}.$$

Pointed twisting cochains

There is also a notion of **pointed twisting cochain** $\alpha : C \to A$ ($\alpha e = 0 = \epsilon \alpha$) between a pointed cooperad C = (C, e) and a pointed operad $A = (A, \epsilon)$.

There is then a bijection between the set $Tw_{\bullet}(C, A)$ of pointed twisting cochains $C \to A$ and the set of morphisms $\mathcal{MC} \to [C, A]_{\bullet}$ in the category of pointed dg operads.

By the hom-tensor-cotensor isomorphisms, we have two natural bijections :

 $Hom(C, \{\mathcal{MC}, A\}_{\bullet}) \simeq Hom(C \triangleright_{\bullet} \mathcal{MC}, A) \simeq Tw_{\bullet}(C, A).$

We thus obtain an adjunction

$$(-) \vartriangleright_{\bullet} \mathcal{MC} : dgCoop_{\bullet} \leftrightarrow dgOp_{\bullet} : \{\mathcal{MC}, -\}_{\bullet}.$$

The operadic bar-cobar duality revisited

By combining the classical isomorphism

$$Hom(\Omega C, A) \simeq Tw_{\bullet}(C, A)$$

with the natural isomorphism

$$Hom(C \triangleright_{\bullet} \mathcal{MC}, A) \simeq Tw_{\bullet}(C, A),$$

we obtain that $\Omega(C) = C \triangleright_{\bullet} \mathcal{MC}$.

Let us put

$$\Omega^{\vee}(A) := \{\mathcal{MC}, A\}_{\bullet}.$$

We then have an adjunction

$$\Omega: \mathbf{dgCoop}_{\bullet} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{dgOp}_{\bullet} : \Omega^{\vee}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The closing remark

The adjoint pair

```
\Omega: \mathbf{dgCoop}_{\bullet} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{dgOp}_{\bullet}: \Omega^{\vee}
```

is entirely determined by the Maurer-Cartan operad \mathcal{MC} , since we have $\Omega(C) = C \triangleright_{\bullet} \mathcal{MC}$.

Conversely, the operad \mathcal{MC} is determined by the operadic cobar construction $\Omega,$ since we have

$$\mathcal{MC} = E_+ \triangleright_{\bullet} \mathcal{MC} = \Omega(E_+),$$

where E_+ is the unit object for the smash product.

References

- M. Aguiar, S. Mahajan, Monoidal functors, species and Hopf Algebras, AMS-CRM Monographs Series, (2010).
- M. Anel, A. Joyal, The bar-cobar duality I, in preparation
- M. Barr, Coalgebras over commutative rings, J. of Algebra, vol 32, Num 3(1974), pp.600-610.
- C. Berger, I. Moerdijk, Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003).
- E.H. Brown, Twisted tensor products, Ann. of Math.,vol 1 (1959), pp.223-246.
- D. Burde, Left symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 4 (2006), no.3, 323-357
- M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, Ann. of Math. (2) 78 (1963).
- E. Getzler, J.D.S. Jones, Homotopy algebra, and iterated integrals for double loop spaces, Manuscript(1993).
- V. Ginzburg , M. Kapranov, Koszul Duality for Operads, Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), no. 1,203-272.
- A. Joyal, Une théorie combinatoire des séries formelles, Adv. in Math. 42 (1981),1-82.
- G.M. Kelly, Basic concepts of enriched category theory, book republished in TAC, No. 10 (2005)
- J.L. Loday, B. Valette, Algebraic operads, book in preparation.
- M. Markl, S. Shnider, J. Stasheff, Operads in Algebras, Topology and Physics, AMS Math. Survey and Monographs, Vol 96, (2002).
- J.P. May, Operads, algebras and modules. Contemp. Math. 202 (1997), 15-31.
- A. Prouté, (Thèse 1986) A_∞-structures, TAC, No.21, (2011).
- H.E. Porst, On categories of monoids, comonoids and bimonoids. Quaest. Math, 31 (2008), 127-139.

M. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, W. A. Benjaminm New York, 1969.

Thanks !