

Syntactic categories for propositional logic poset

How to construct it? $T = (R, A)$ a theory

- first consider only the constructors T, \wedge for axioms.
 \rightarrow meet semi-lattice

i) consider the free meet semi-lattice on R order reversed!

$$\text{MLat}(R) = \{\text{finite subsets of } R\}^{\text{op}} \subset P(R)^{\text{op}}$$

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \vdash, f(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge f(x_n)$ (A other meet semi-lattice)

mor. of MLat: $\text{MLat}(R) \rightarrow A$

fct $R \xrightarrow{f} UA$ (UA underlying set of A)

$$x \mapsto f(x)$$

ii) use the axioms to build a "quotient" of $M\text{Lat}(R)$.
in the category of meet semi-lattice.

each axiom $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \vdash \psi$ define a
relation on $M\text{Lat}(R)$:

each ψ is build from element of R by using T, \wedge

$$[\psi] \in M\text{Lat}(R) \quad [+] = \phi$$

$$[\varphi, \varphi'] = [\varphi] \wedge [\varphi']$$

$[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m]$ interpret as $[\varphi_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\varphi_m]$

in $M\text{Lat}(R)$ it might not be true that the axioms is valid:

i.e. that $[\varphi_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\varphi_m] \leq [\psi]$ can force this relation to be true.

Recall that in a meet semi-lattice

$$a \leq b \quad (\Rightarrow) \quad a \wedge b = a$$

the axioms are going to be taken care of by

adding equalities

$$[\varphi_1]_{\wedge} \dots \wedge [\varphi_m]_{\wedge} [\psi] = [\varphi_1]_{\wedge} \dots \wedge [\varphi_m]$$

i.e. by taking a quotient of $Mlat(\mathbb{R})$ for the
algebraic structure of meet-semi-lattice.

- \sim_A : minimal equivalence relation on $M\text{Lat}(R)$
- $[\varphi_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\varphi_m] \wedge [\psi] \sim_A [\varphi_1] \wedge \dots \wedge [\varphi_m]$
for each axiom $\varphi_1 \dots \varphi_m \vdash \psi$ in A .
 - compatible with the \wedge operation
if $a \sim_A^b$, $a' \sim_A^{b'}$ then $a \wedge a' \sim_A^{b \wedge b'}$

Define : $\text{Syn}(R, A) = M\text{Lat}(R) / \sim_A$

if the theory $T = (R, A)$ has more constructs

• Dlattice T, \wedge, \perp, \vee replace $Mlat(R)$ by
 $Dlat(R) = \underline{\text{the free distributive lattice on } R}$

construction of $Dlat(R)$.

X poset $\Pr(X) = \text{Hom}(X^{\text{op}}, \text{dom})$

$X \rightarrow \text{Pn}(X)$ "Yoneda"

$x \mapsto \hat{x}: y \mapsto [y \leq x]$

$$Dlat(R) = \Pr(Mlat(R))^{\text{fin}}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \text{of finite join of } \hat{x} \end{array} \right\} = \text{Pn}(X)^{\text{fin}} \subset \Pr(X)$$

- Boolean $T, \wedge, \perp, \vee, \top$
 need to replace $M\text{lat}(R)$ by
 $\text{BA}(R) = \text{free Boolean algebra on } R$
- Heyting $T, \wedge, \perp, \vee, \Rightarrow$ need $HA(R)$
 free Heyting algebra on R .

then take quotient by axioms for the appropriate algebraic structure -

Remark : for algebraic theories there two step :

- free Mlat/Dlat/BA/HA gen by \mathcal{R}
- quotient by \sim_A gen by axioms

Correspond to the construction of

- $T(\Sigma)$ term for the signature
- $T(\Sigma, \alpha) = T(\Sigma)/\sim_A$ quotient by axioms

Universal property of the syntactic poset ($\text{MLat}/\text{DLat}/\text{BA}/\text{HA}/\text{Frm}$)

Prop the syntactic poset has a model of the theory.

Proof $r \in R$ is interpreted as the corresponding elt
 $[r]$ in $\text{Syn}(R, A)$

axioms are validated by definition of \sim_A .

$\text{Syn}(R, A)$ must have a universal property.

$\text{Dlat} \xrightarrow{\text{BA}} \text{HA}$
From

Recall the functor of models: (in the case of Mlat)

$T = (R, A)$ a theory
 C is a Mlat .

$\text{Mod}(T, C) =$ poset of models of T in C .

Define a functor:
 $\text{Mlat} \xrightarrow{\text{BA}} \text{Poset}$
 $C \longmapsto \text{Mod}(T, C)$

the category Mlat has Hom enriched over poset
 $\text{BA}(\text{HA}(-))$

then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a poset
 Mlat

$$f \leq g \text{ if } \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \quad f(x) \leq g(x)$$

compatible with composition

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$$

is a monotone fact.

$\text{BA}(\text{HA}(-))$

→ any X in Mlat defines a functor : $\text{Mlat} \rightarrow \text{Poset}$
“representable” $Y \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, Y)$

Thus the functor of models
 $\text{Mod} : \text{Mlat} \xrightarrow{\text{BA/HAF--}} \text{Poset}$
 $c \mapsto \text{Mod}(T, c)$

is representable by $\text{Syn}(T)$.
 i.e. $\text{Mod}(T, c) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Mlat}}(\text{Syn}(T), c)$
 (natural in c)

Proof: same as for alg-theories.

Model of T in C

the interpretation of R gives

a function $R \rightarrow C$

$\Leftrightarrow M\text{lat}(R) \rightarrow C$ morphism of $M\text{lat}$

Validity of axioms: factorisation by $\text{Syn}(T) = M\text{lat}(R) / \sim_A$



this builds a map

$$\text{Mod}(T, c) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Syn}(T), c)$$

Map the other way obtained from canonical model in $\text{Syn}(T)$

then prove that these two maps are inverse (exercise)

□

examples of syntactic posets

- theory of relation between E and F

no axioms. $R = E \times F$

$\text{hyp}(T) = \text{free algebra on } R$.

$= \text{Lat}(R)$

or $\text{DLat}(R)$

or $\text{BA}(R)$

or $\text{HA}(R)$

or $\text{Frame}(R)$. . .

Theory of functions $E \rightarrow F$

$$\mathcal{L} = E \times F$$

Axioms: need \wedge, \top and infinite ✓
if F is infinite.

need
| complete BA-
| HA
Frames

$$\text{Sym}(T) = \text{Free}(\mathcal{L}) / \sim_A$$

- real numbers example

- need infinite $\checkmark \rightarrow$ CBA
CHA
Frames.

in the context of Frames

$\text{Syn}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R})$ poset of open subsets
of \mathbb{R} .

Proof: "Stone spaces"
by P. Johnstone.

(union of open intervals)